IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTIION

Writ Petition No. 108 of 2004

Shri Laxmibai Harish Vhatkar ..Petitioner

vs.

Gopal Tukaram Bandivdekar
and others ..Respondents

Smt.P.O.Badadare for petitioner.
Shri A.R.Patne, A.G.P. for respondent State

CORAM: S.C.DHARMADHIKARI J.
22nd NOVEMBER, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard Smt.Badadare appearing for the petitioner. Perused the impugned order.

2. To my mind if the petitioner wants to have the transaction regularised, then, in terms of the subject policy, she has to pay the Nazarana for having the transaction regularised and legalised. As is clear from the order of the revisional authority, the transaction is contrary to the provisions of law as no permission has been obtained before the sale deed was executed. The petition is, therefore, devoid of any substance and is summarily dismissed.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI J.)